agencies, the Nez Perce and Coeur D'Alene Tribes, and other individuals or groups who may be interested or affected by the proposed action. This information will be used in preparing the EIS. Interested individuals and organizations should contact the Palouse Ranger District and request to be placed on the project mailing list. Those doing so will receive future newsletters related to this project and notification of public meetings. Scoping will include: inviting participation, determining the project's scope and potential issues, eliminating from detailed study those issues which are not significant, and determining potential cooperating agencies and task assignments. The public will also be invited to participate in developing alternatives, and identifying and/or reviewing the potential environmental effects of the proposed action and its alternatives.

Public meetings will be held in the Potlatch Idaho area in the spring of 1995. Field trips will also be available if the public requests them. The exact dates and locations of these meetings will be published in local newspapers at least two weeks in advance.

Preliminary issues highlight the need to maintain biodiversity and biological integrity by providing habitat for a broad range of terrestrial and aquatic species. Management activities. primarily logging and road building and the introduction of white pine blister rust, have changed some of the natural disturbance processes such as insect and disease outbreaks and have altered the ecosystem composition, structure, and resiliency in the White Pine Creek project area. Management activities have affected the function and productivity of some riparian systems in the Meadow Creek area.

Aquatic ecosystem issues include sediment, temperature and peak flows. Human needs and desires and their effects on the ecosystem will also be a driving factor in the formulation of issues. The need for shelter, employment, aesthetics recreation, cultural, and spiritual revitalization all play a major role in the forest ecosystem. The direct, indirect, cumulative, short-term, and long-term, aspects of impacts on national forest lands and resources, and those of connected or related effects off-site, will be fully disclosed.

Preliminary alternatives will likely include a range from a more passive approach of managing non-consumptive uses to a more active consumptive use approach to meet social demands. All alternatives will focus on maintaining or restoring ecological functions. This will

involve proposals with and without roads, different intensities and types of timber harvest, and various approaches to access management including motorized and non-motorized recreation. Most action alternatives will analyze riparian and aquatic habitat improvement.

Permits and licenses required to implement the proposed action may include the following: consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for compliance with Section 7 of the Threatened & Endangered Species Act; a permit from the Idaho Department of Water Resources for water removal for dust abatement; and clearance from the Idaho State Historic Preservation Office.

The Forest Service predicts the Draft EIS will be filed in August of 1995 and the Final EIS in December of 1995.

The Forest Service will seek comments on the Draft EIS for a period of 45 days after its publication. Comments will then be summarized and responded to in the Final EIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action or the effects disclosure, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the Draft EIS. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of DEIS's must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980).

Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the Final EIS.

As Forest Supervisor, I am the Responsible Official for this project. My address is Clearwater National Forest, 12730 U.S. Highway 12, Orofino, ID 83544 (208–476–4541).

Dated: March 28, 1995.

James L. Caswell,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95-8530 Filed 4-6-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

ADAAG Review Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) gives notice, as required by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2), of the dates and location of the meetings of its ADAAG Review Advisory Committee.

DATES: The ADAAG Review Advisory

DATES: The ADAAG Review Advisory Committee will meet on April 26, 27, 28, and 29, 1995. The schedule of events is as follows:

Wednesday, April 26, 1995

9:00 a.m.-3:00 p.m.—Communications Subcommittee 4:00 p.m.-6:00 p.m.—Editorial Subcommittee

Thursday, April 27, 1995

9:00 a.m.-3:00 p.m.—Plumbing Subcommittee

4:00 p.m.–8:00 p.m.—Accessible Routes Subcommittee

Friday, April 28, 1995

9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.—Accessible Routes Subcommittee 12 Noon-6:00 p.m.—Special Occupancies Subcommittee

Saturday, April 29, 1995

9:00 a.m.-12 Noon—Full Committee ADDRESSES: The meetings on April 26, 27, and 28, 1995 will be held at the Paralyzed Veterans of America, 801 18th Street, NW., Washington, DC. The meeting on April 29, 1995 will be held at the Washington Vista Hotel, 1400 M

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information regarding the meetings, please contact Marsha Mazz,

Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Office of Technical and Information Services, Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, 1331 F Street, NW., suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004-1111. Telephone (202) 272-5434 ext. 21 (voice); (202) 272-5449 ext. 21 (TTY). This document is available in alternate formats (cassette tape, braille, large print, or computer disk) upon request. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Access Board has established an advisory committee to review the Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) for buildings and facilities. 36 CFR part 1191, appendix A. The advisory committee will make recommendations to the Access Board for updating ADAAG to ensure that the guidelines remain a state-of-the-art document which is generally consistent with technological developments and changes in national standards and model codes, and meets the needs of individuals with disabilities. The advisory committee is composed of organizations representing individuals with disabilities, model code organizations, professional associations, State and local governments, building owners and operators, and other organizations.

The advisory committee has formed the following subcommittees to assist in its work: Editorial, Accessible Routes, Plumbing, Communications, and Special Occupancies.

Subcommittee and full committee meetings are open to the public. The meeting sites are accessible to individuals with disabilities. Sign language interpreters and assistive listening systems will be available for individuals with hearing impairments.

Lawrence W. Roffee,

Executive Director.
[FR Doc. 95–8573 Filed 4–6–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8150–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-549-809]

Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Thailand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. EFFECTIVE DATE: April 7, 1995. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vincent Kane or Julie Anne Osgood, Office of Countervailing Investigations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2815 or 482–0167, respectively.

Amended Final Determination

We are amending the final determination of sales at less than fair value of certain carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings (pipe fittings) from Thailand to reflect the correction of ministerial errors made in the margin calculation in the final determination.

Case History

In accordance with section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1673d(a)) (the Act), on February 27, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its final determination that pipe fittings from Thailand were being sold at less than fair value (60 FR 10552). On March 13, 1995, respondent, Awaji Sangyo (Thailand) Co., Ltd. (AST), alleged that the Department made several clerical errors in its final determination regarding the margin calculations and requested that the Department correct these errors.

Scope of the Investigation

The products covered by this investigation are certain carbon steel butt-weld pipe fittings having an inside diameter of less than fourteen inches (355 millimeters), imported in either finished or unfinished condition. Pipe fittings are formed of forged steel products used to join pipe sections in piping systems where conditions require permanent welded connections, as distinguished from fittings based on other methods of fastening (e.g., threaded, grooved, or bolted fittings). Butt-weld fittings come in a variety of shapes which include "elbows," "tees," "caps," and "reducers." The edges of finished pipe fittings are beveled, so that when a fitting is placed against the end of a pipe (the ends of which have also been beveled), a shallow channel is created to accommodate the "bead" of the weld which joins the fitting to the pipe. These pipe fittings are currently classifiable under subheading 7307.93.3000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Amended Final Determination

On March 13, 1995, respondent alleged that the Department had made

three ministerial errors in the final calculations performed to determine the dumping margin for AST in accordance with section 353.28 of the Department's regulations. Each alleged error is discussed below.

Comment 1

Upon exportation of finished pipe fitting, AST received drawback of import duties on imported pipe used to produce the pipe fittings. AST alleges that the Department incorrectly added the drawback amount to the foreign market value (FMV), rather than to the United States price (USP).

DOC Position

We agree with respondent. Section 772(d)(1)(B) of the Act provides that the U.S. price shall be increased by the amount of any import duties imposed in the country of exportation which have been rebated, or not collected, by reason of exportation of the merchandise to the United States. In the final determination, we inadvertently added drawback to FMV rather than to USP. We have recalculated FMV and USP to correct this error.

Comment 2

AST alleges that in allocating indirect selling expenses to the home market, the Department used an incorrect value for home market shipments during the period of investigation (POI).

DOC Position

We agree with respondent. We have now reallocated home market selling expenses using the correct value.

Comment 3

AST alleges the Department erroneously included a quantity of seamless pipe in its calculation of total welded pipe consumed. AST contends that this quantity of seamless pipe should be excluded from the Department's welded pipe consumption calculation.

DOC Position

We agree with AST that the Department erroneously included some seamless pipe quantities in its calculation of per unit welded pipe consumed. We intended to include only welded pipe in our welded pipe consumption calculation. Thus, we have recomputed per unit welded pipe consumed based solely on AST's welded pipe consumption.

Accordingly, pursuant to section 735(e) of the Act, we have corrected the ministerial errors in the final determination of sales at less than fair value. The final estimated margin